STANDARD ARIZONA INVENTORY, AFFIQAVITO AND RETURN OF SEARCH WARRANT UPER COURT

1. S. A	CEJETO O	1 <u>48025</u>	َــُــُ الْكُرُاكِ a peace officer of the .	Stalle St Arizona,
being first duly sv	vorn, swear that:	17	SW0.04	
On MAY 31,	_∂p/.7 I executed Se	ಷ್ಟ್ ೮ arch Warrant No	LJ WUULL	BY LEISORA-H
BERNINI		e following property w	se epizod.	-
. /	list of property was seized.	e tollowing broberty w	ao doneed.	
MISCEUM	Tower (APTO)	PS, TABLETS	ELECTRONIC	ROADING DEVICE
	PHOTOGLAPHS ME	<u>ECECILINIC</u> EASILEMENTS	<u> LETTET HING CO</u> MoSCEU ANFRUS	EDS, Carrean
ITENS M	1953 AND WHER	I'S BAUGS 'X		
CLOPHILL FE	BODING, MATTRE	ESS TOP		
		-		**************************************
***			A 15 AS - S SA S	
***************************************	100023 100033 10003 10003 1000 1000 1000		· ************************************	
		ada atau da ay		
	. *	_		
			-	*
I further certify that	the above inventory is a tru	e and detailed accou	nt of all the property tal	ken by me pursuant to
	and that a detailed receipt fo			
KITCHEN TI				
			1/1/	
			fficer's Signature	
This warrant returns	ed, subscribed and sworn to	(UMO 2	2017
THE STATE OF THE PERSON OF THE	A, Subscribed and Swort to	delote the oil	(date)	
			Donne	ns
		Judicial Officer o	Lunes.	117 — Court.
TFD2327 (08/06)	DISTRIBUTION			
· formanh	DISTRIBUTION: WHILE	NOUNT TOTAWRECORDS Fink-	DETECTIVE Goldenhod-DEFENDANTA	SEARCH SITE

STANDARD ARIZONA INVENTORY, AFFIDAVIT AND RETURN OF SEARCH WARRANTON'LL HELLON LERIC SUPERIOR COURT

being first duly sworn, swear that: On Object Description of the State of Arizona, (print name) On Object Description of the State of Arizona, (print nam
Title attached list of property was seized. Tablet (oct)
☐ The attached list of property was seized. ———————————————————————————————————
Tablet (not)
and defining
Shirt
receipt to the state of the sta
Bel Blankt
Trusk cappet
Suass.
Epal
NOT YOU COMPLETED AT THE TIME OF WARRANT RETURN?
I further certify that the above inventory is a true and detailed account of all the property taken by me pursuant to A.R.S. § 13-3921, and that a detailed receipt for the property taken was (given to) (left at):
This warrant returned, subscribed and sworn to before me on
Denni.
Judicial Officer of Judici

ION L. HELCH LERK, SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF PIMA

STATE OF ARIZONA

175W0943
SEARCH WARRANT NO.

AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF A SEARCH WARRANT

 I, Detective J. Cheek, being duly sworn, depose and say that there is just, probable and reasonable cause to believe that there is now:

IN THE POSSESSION OF:

Joshua Lelevier 103079

Jessica Lelevier 092277

ON THE PREMISES LOCATED AT:

WHICH CONSISTS OF:

Single family residence, cream and tan colored, front door faces south, the numbers affixed to the east of the garage door.

IN THE VEHICLE DESCRIBED AS:

Blue 2013 Dodge Charger w £94, registered to Joshua Lelevier

THERE IS NOW BEING POSSESSED OR CONCEALED CERTAIN PROPERTY OR THINGS DESCRIBED AS:

- Wire, cords, string and any other items capable of causing ligature marks or strangulation.
- 2. Any item consistent with the pattern marks found on Jayden Glomb's leg.
- 3. Photographs and trace evidence to include swabs of blood and DNA.
- 4. Computers, tablets, laptops, printers, media storage devices, and cellular phones.
- 5. Shoes belonging to Joshua Lelevier.
- 6. Shoes belonging to Jayden Glomb.
- 7. Bedding, clothing, and any items containing trace and/or blood evidence.
- Receipts, calendars, notes, or other items indicating the whereabouts of particular people at particular times.
- 9. Reference the computers listed, it is requested that the Tucson Police Department, the prosecutor's office, and any other law enforcement agency assisting the Tucson Police Department be granted authorization to have an investigator make forensic images of any servers, hard drives, computer system drives and storage media including but not limited to disks, flash and USB drives, memory cards, optical storage media, backup tapes and drives, as well as all other digital media for forensic analysis within a reasonable time period after the execution of this warrant. A forensic image includes any and all digital information stored on an electronic storage device that can be obtained either by physical or logical data extraction. A physical extraction contains a bit for bit copy of data,

whereas a logical extraction is any specific portion of data copied less than a physical extraction. A forensic image may include any hidden, deleted or erased data.

- 10. In addition, I request that permission be granted to break codes or methods of encryption used to conceal items on said systems.
- 11. After recovery of any hidden, deleted or erased data, the investigators will determine which files, communications, graphical images, multimedia items or documents found in the system constitute evidence of the offenses listed and/or evidence that a particular person committed the offenses. Evidence copies of the items relating to these offenses will be created and retained for further proceedings. Only those items described in the search warrant relating to the offenses will be copied. After completion of the evidence copies, the "forensic images" will not be displayed to any person or otherwise disclosed, used, or copied unless required by law or required for further forensic analysis.
- 12. Reference the electronic communications devices listed, it is requested that investigators with the Tucson Police Department, the prosecutor's office, or any other law enforcement agency assisting the Tucson Police Department be given permission to manually and electronically extract and examine the contents of the listed electronic devices including, but not limited to, phonebook / contents, text messages, MMS messages, call logs, digital images and videos, location data and application data stored on the device within a reasonable time period after the execution of this warrant. It is also requested that this same permission be granted to extract and examine the same data from content stored on any flash memory, external SD cards and SIM cards inserted into the devices.

 Additionally, it is requested that this same permission be granted to extract and examine

any application on the electronic device, including data the application stores locally on the electronic device and any data the application stores on remote "cloud" storage media.

- 13. It is requested that permission be granted to break codes or methods of encryption used to conceal electronic data and to use methods that will circumvent device passcodes included on the device should the need arise. Some of these methods used to break or circumvent encryption include opening the device to connect to or remove data processing and memory chips (JTAG and Chip Off) and could render the device permanently inoperable.
- 14. It is requested that the Tucson Police Department, the prosecutor's office, and any law enforcement agency assisting the Tucson Police Department be granted authorization to have an investigator make forensic images of the electronic devices' memories within a reasonable time period after the execution of this warrant, with the ability to view, retrieve, store and analyze the data at a later time after the return of this warrant. Evidence copies of the items relating to these offenses will be created and retained for further proceedings. Only those items described in the search warrant relating to the offenses will be copied and/or evidence that a particular person committed the offenses. After completion of the evidence copies, the "forensic images" will not be displayed to any person or otherwise disclosed, used or copied unless required by law or required for further forensic analysis.
- 15. Fruits, instrumentalities, and evidence of the crimes of 1st degree murder, 2nd degree murder, and unlawful abandonment or concealment of a dead body.

TRAINING AND EXPERIENCE

DETECTIVE J. CHEEK #41238 TUCSON POLICE DEPARTMENT HOMICIDE UNIT

I, Detective J. Cheek #41238, your affiant, am a police officer employed by the Tucson Police Department. I have been a police officer for over 18 years. I am currently assigned as a Detective in the Homicide Unit.

I received my bachelor's degree in Psychology from the University of Arizona in 1998. I received my master's degree in Criminal Justice from Boston University in 2004. I was hired by the Tucson Police Department in May of 1999, after which I completed 16 weeks at a police training academy at the Southern Arizona Law Enforcement Training Center. Following graduation, I was assigned to work in Operation Division South in a patrol capacity. I spent approximately five years as a patrol officer in Operations Division South, where I conducted numerous investigations involving property crimes, violent crimes and various narcotics offenses.

In March of 2005, I was transferred to the Surveillance Unit, where I worked in a plain clothes and undercover capacity. While in this unit, I conducted stationary and mobile surveillance on suspects wanted for a variety of crimes, including various property crimes and violent crimes

In May of 2005, I attended a 60-hour basic detective school. In September of 2006, I was promoted to the rank of detective. I participated in field training with the Domestic Violence

17570943

Unit, the Robbery Unit, the Adult Sexual Assault Unit, and the Auto Theft Unit. After I completed my training, I was assigned to the Auto Theft Unit.

In September of 2007, I was transferred to the Counter Narcotics Alliance. As part of this assignment, I investigated the sales of illegal drugs, as well as drug related home invasions, robberies, aggravated assaults and kidnappings.

From September 2013 to September 2014, I was assigned as a Task Force Officer with the Drug Enforcement Administration, assigned to the Counter Narcotics Alliance Conspiracy Squad. As a part of this squad, I worked with other local detectives as well as federal agents with the Drug Enforcement Administration and Homeland Security Investigations investigating long-term conspiracies. These investigations targeted large scale drug trafficking organizations and money laundering organizations operating in Arizona, as well as in other parts of the United States, and in Mexico and other countries.

In September 2014, I was assigned to the Homicide Unit, where I currently work as a detective. In September 2014, I attended a 30 hour Forensic Shooting Incident Reconstruction Course hosted by the Indiana State Police Crime Lab in Greencastle, Indiana. In May of 2015, I attended an Advanced Homicide School hosted by the Arizona Homicide Investigators

Association in Chandler, AZ.

I AM INVESTIGATING THE CRIMES OF:

1st Degree Murder, 2nd Degree Murder, Abandonment or concealment of a dead body

Which offenses occurred on or about 051017 - 051117 in Pima County, Arizona.

Your affiant says that the facts in support of the issuance of this search warrant are as follows:

Lelevier, her half-brother (6years-of-age), and her half-sister (72), her stepbrother Silas Lelevier (6years-of-age), and her half-sister (83) at (93) On
051017 as of 2000 hrs, Jessica and the other children were asleep, and only Jayden and her
stepfather Joshua were awake. Joshua claimed that he was on the futon in his den watching
Netflix, and he heard Jayden leave her room at approximately 2100 hrs and walk into the
bathroom. At approximately 2130 hrs, he claimed he heard her leave the bathroom and walk
back into her bedroom.

At one point, Joshua Lelevier said he fell asleep in the den. He said he awoke at approximately 0130 hrs when his son woke him up screaming. has night terrors, and Joshua said he walked him to the bathroom and then walked him back to bed. While doing this, he said he noticed that Jayden's bedroom door was open. He went into the room and said Jayden was gone. He checked the back sliding glass door, and claimed he found it cracked open. It should be noted that, to leave the house by this door, Jayden would have had to walk right past Joshua on the futon. He said he checked the front and back yards, and couldn't find Jayden.

According to Jessica and Joshua, the previous summer, Jayden had snuck out of the house several times at night. She had since stopped associating with the people she used to sneak out with, and hadn't done that since. Joshua said he assumed she snuck out, and he said he drove his vehicle (a blue Dodge Charger) around the neighborhood looking for her. When he couldn't find

her, he said he went back home, woke up Jessica, and told her that Jayden was gone. Joshua said he drove around the neighborhood another 3 times looking for Jayden in his vehicle, but couldn't find her. They then waited until the sun came up, and called Jayden in as a runaway juvenile. Jessica did not want to report her as a runaway because Jayden had never run away before, but she was told by police that this was how she would need to make the report.

Jayden didn't show up at school that day (051117).

On 051117 at approximately 1115 hrs, a site inspector leaving a jobsite in the area of the saw a female's body in the desert off the side of the road. The inspector walked up, confirmed the female was deceased, and called police. Tucson Police Homicide Detectives responded to conduct an investigation. There were tire and shoe impressions in the dirt near the body. Detectives photographed the impressions. The female was lying face down, wearing shorts that were torn up the side, and her sweatshirt and bra were pulled up near her shoulders. Despite the torn clothing, there was no indication any sexual assault had occurred. There was blood on her face around her nose and mouth. There was what appeared to be a ligature mark on the front left side of her throat. There was hemorrhaging in both her eyes, and there was bruising under her right eye. The female had no shoes or socks, and the bottom of her feet were clean without any scratches or indication that she had been walking outside barefoot. There were 2 sets of marks in a linear pattern on her right leg that would have been consistent with an object similar to a dining fork.

During the course of the death investigation, detectives determined that Jayden Glomb had been reported as a runaway. The deceased female generally matched Jayden's description.

Detectives later used DNA testing to confirm that the deceased female was in fact Jayden Glomb.

The results of the autopsy were delayed, pending toxicology testing. Detectives continued to conduct their investigation.

While interviewing Jessica and Joshua Lelevier, detectives photographed the tire tread of both Joshua's Dodge Charger and Jessica's Chevy Traverse. Joshua was present at the residence when those photographs were taken. Additionally, after detectives cleared from the scene where Jayden's body was dumped, spray paint marks were left near several of the tire impressions. Shortly after that, a shrine to Jayden was erected near the site.

Detectives confirmed with Jessica Lelevier that all of Jayden's shoes were accounted for in her room, and none were missing.

Investigators also obtained consent from Jessica to examine Jayden's laptop computer.

Jessica and Joshua had recently taken Jayden's cell phone away from her because she hadn't been waking up on time in the mornings to go to school. The laptop computer would have been the only way for Jayden to communicate with anyone the night she went missing.

Detectives interviewed Jayden's friends, and couldn't find anyone who knew where she would have gone on the evening of 051017. While investigators were examining Jayden's laptop, detectives examined the tire impressions from the scene, near the body, and saw that they were consistent with the tire tread on Jessica's Chevy Traverse. On 051717, Joshua Lelevier called detectives and said, "I think we may have found something." Detectives responded to their residence, and Joshua pointed to a bush in the front yard. Detectives had to kneel down on the driveway and put an ear to the driveway to see a key on a key fob that was concealed inside a bush. The key was determined to belong to Jessica's Chevy Traverse. Jessica said she had a spare key in a drawer in her kitchen, but hadn't seen it in months. Joshua claimed he hadn't seen

ground, and had a cut on his shirt, a cut on his pants, and a linear mark on the side of his neck. Photographs of the mark on his neck indicated that it was not a ligature mark, but rather appeared to be a scratch that was initially bleeding slightly. It should be noted that Joshua had his pocket knife at the time he claimed he was attacked, and the pocket knife was later found on the ground outside. There was no other indication or evidence that Joshua had been strangled. Police also found a copper insulated cord that was in the shape of a loop, tossed on the ground in the side yard of the residence.

Detectives examined surveillance video in the neighborhood immediately to the south of where Jayden's body was dumped, and found video from 051117 at approximately 0249 hrs that showed what appeared to be Joshua's Dodge Charger driving first through the neighborhood, and then down the road toward the scene where her body was dumped. During his first interview with detectives, Joshua claimed he drove around their neighborhood looking for Jayden, but he claimed he never went into the neighborhood near the scene, and he never went south of Mary Ann Cleveland (where the scene was located).

Analysis of Jayden's laptop showed that, on 050417 between 1439 – 1451 hrs, there were internet searches associated with suicide, drugs, and exsanguination (bleeding to death) on her computer. At this time period, Jayden was confirmed to be at school, and Jessica would have been at work based on the work schedule she gave to detectives. Konnor and Silas would also have been at school. Joshua does not work, and would have been home. Joshua and Jessica previously told detectives that only Jayden used that laptop. Joshua had a desktop computer in the den that he used.

Further analysis showed that, on 050517 between 1345-1417 hrs, a file entitled

ground, and had a cut on his shirt, a cut on his pants, and a linear mark on the side of his neck.

Photographs of the mark on his neck indicated that it was not a ligature mark, but rather appeared to be a scratch that was initially bleeding slightly. It should be noted that Joshua had his pocket knife at the time he claimed he was attacked, and the pocket knife was later found on the ground outside. There was no other indication or evidence that Joshua had been strangled. Police also found a copper insulated cord that was in the shape of a loop, tossed on the ground in the side yard of the residence.

Detectives examined surveillance video in the neighborhood immediately to the south of where Jayden's body was dumped, and found video from 051117 at approximately 0249 hrs that showed what appeared to be Joshua's Dodge Charger driving first through the neighborhood, and then down the road toward the scene where her body was dumped. During his first interview with detectives, Joshua claimed he drove around their neighborhood looking for Jayden, but he claimed he never went into the neighborhood near the scene, and he never went south of Mary Ann Cleveland (where the scene was located).

Analysis of Jayden's laptop showed that, on 050417 between 1439 – 1451 hrs, there were internet searches associated with suicide, drugs, and exsanguination (bleeding to death) on her computer. At this time period, Jayden was confirmed to be at school, and Jessica would have been at work based on the work schedule she gave to detectives. Konnor and Silas would also have been at school. Joshua does not work, and would have been home. Joshua and Jessica previously told detectives that only Jayden used that laptop. Joshua had a desktop computer in the den that he used.

Further analysis showed that, on 050517 between 1345 - 1417 hrs, a file entitled

"Everyone is against me all the time" was created on Jayden's laptop. The file was then apparently concealed in the "Downloaded Installers Folder" on the laptop. Again, during this time period, Jayden was confirmed to be at school. Konnor and Silas would have been at school, and Jessica would have been at work. Joshua does not work, and would have been home.

Further analysis showed that, on 051117 between 0213 – 0215 hrs (after Joshua claimed he woke up and found Jayden missing from the residence), Jayden's laptop powered on, and the file entitled "Everyone is against me all the time" was immediately accessed in the Downloaded Installers Folder. It was deleted to the recycle bin, and then was deleted from the recycle bin.

It should be noted that Jayden's laptop was not password protected.

Investigators were able to recover a word document entitled "Everyone is against me all the time" from Jayden's laptop. The short document could be interpreted as a suicide note. It was signed "Jayden."

On 053017 at approximately 1204 hrs, doctors at the Pima County Office of the Medical Examiner contacted detectives and indicated that Jayden Glomb's official cause of death was asphyxia, and her manner of death was determined to be a homicide.

In addition to processing the residence and the listed vehicle for the above listed evidence, I would ask to have an investigator drive the above listed vehicle through the area where the surveillance cameras are situated at the same time (approximately 0249 hrs) that a vehicle matching this description was seen on surveillance video on 051117. I would ask to do this for comparative purposes.

WHEREFORE, I pray that a search warrant be issued, that immediate day time search be made of the persons and premises described herein, and that the same be retained in the custody of the Superior Court, County of Pima.

DATED this 31st day of May, 2017.

Detective J. Cheek

Tucson Police Department

Homicide Unit

Judge D. Bernini

Pima County Superior Court

Standard Arizona Duplicate Original Search Warrant
State of Arizona

Service:

Date 053117 Time 1154 No. 17590943 D. WANDELL, DEPUTY

County of Pima, State of Arizona

To any peace officer in the state of Arizona:

Proof of affidavit having been made this date before me by Detective J. Cheek #41238

I am satisfied that there is probable cause to believe that:

In the possession of: Joshua Lelevier 103079 and Jessica Lelevier 092277

On the premises located at:
cream and tan colored, front door faces south, the numbers
garage door.

a single family residence, are affixed to the east of the

In the vehicle described as: Blue 2013 Dodge Charger with registered to Joshua Lelevier.

In the county of Pima, state of Arizona, there is now being possessed or concealed certain property or things described as:

- Wire, cords, string and any other items capable of causing ligature marks or strangulation.
- 2. Any item consistent with the pattern marks found on Jayden Glomb's leg.
- 3. Photographs and trace evidence to include swabs of blood and DNA.
- 4. Computers, tablets, laptops, printers, media storage devices, and cellular phones.
- Shoes belonging to Joshua Lelevier.
- Shoes belonging to Jayden Glomb.
- 7. Bedding, clothing, and any items containing trace and/or blood evidence.

- Receipts, calendars, notes, or other items indicating the whereabouts of particular people
 at particular times.
- 9. Reference the computers listed, it is requested that the Tucson Police Department, the prosecutor's office, and any other law enforcement agency assisting the Tucson Police Department be granted authorization to have an investigator make forensic images of any servers, hard drives, computer system drives and storage media including but not limited to disks, flash and USB drives, memory cards, optical storage media, backup tapes and drives, as well as all other digital media for forensic analysis within a reasonable time period after the execution of this warrant. A forensic image includes any and all digital information stored on an electronic storage device that can be obtained either by physical or logical data extraction. A physical extraction contains a bit for bit copy of data, whereas a logical extraction is any specific portion of data copied less than a physical extraction. A forensic image may include any hidden, deleted or erased data.
- 10. In addition, I request that permission be granted to break codes or methods of encryption used to conceal items on said systems.
- 11. After recovery of any hidden, deleted or erased data, the investigators will determine which files, communications, graphical images, multimedia items or documents found in the system constitute evidence of the offenses listed and/or evidence that a particular person committed the offenses. Evidence copies of the items relating to these offenses will be created and retained for further proceedings. Only those items described in the search warrant relating to the offenses will be copied. After completion of the evidence copies, the "forensic images" will not be displayed to any person or otherwise disclosed, used, or copied unless required by law or required for further forensic analysis.

- 12. Reference the electronic communications devices listed, it is requested that investigators with the Tucson Police Department, the prosecutor's office, or any other law enforcement agency assisting the Tucson Police Department be given permission to manually and electronically extract and examine the contents of the listed electronic devices including, but not limited to, phonebook / contents, text messages, MMS messages, call logs, digital images and videos, location data and application data stored on the device within a reasonable time period after the execution of this warrant. It is also requested that this same permission be granted to extract and examine the same data from content stored on any flash memory, external SD cards and SIM cards inserted into the devices.

 Additionally, it is requested that this same permission be granted to extract and examine any application on the electronic device, including data the application stores locally on the electronic device and any data the application stores on remote "cloud" storage media.
- 13. It is requested that permission be granted to break codes or methods of encryption used to conceal electronic data and to use methods that will circumvent device passcodes included on the device should the need arise. Some of these methods used to break or circumvent encryption include opening the device to connect to or remove data processing and memory chips (JTAG and Chip Off) and could render the device permanently inoperable.
- 14. It is requested that the Tucson Police Department, the prosecutor's office, and any law enforcement agency assisting the Tucson Police Department be granted authorization to have an investigator make forensic images of the electronic devices' memories within a reasonable time period after the execution of this warrant, with the ability to view,

retrieve, store and analyze the data at a later time after the return of this warrant.

Evidence copies of the items relating to these offenses will be created and retained for further proceedings. Only those items described in the search warrant relating to the offenses will be copied and/or evidence that a particular person committed the offenses. After completion of the evidence copies, the "forensic images" will not be displayed to any person or otherwise disclosed, used or copied unless required by law or required for further forensic analysis.

- 15. Fruits, instrumentalities, and evidence of the crimes of 1st degree murder, 2nd degree murder, and unlawful abandonment or concealment of a dead body.
- 16. Permission to have an investigator drive the above listed vehicle through the area where the surveillance cameras are situated at the same time (approximately 0249 hrs) that a vehicle matching this description was seen on surveillance video on 051117 for comparative purposes.

- X Were used as a means for committing a public offense.
- X Is being possessed with the intent to use it as a means of committing a public offense.
- X Consists of any item or constitutes any evidence which tends to show that a public offense has been committed, or tends to show that a particular person committed the public offense.

Which offense occurred on or about the $10^{th} - 11^{th}$ day of May, 2017, in the county of Pima, state of Arizona.

You are therefore commanded:

X In the daytime (excluding the time period between 10:00 PM and 6:30 AM)

To make a search of the person (s), premises (s) and/or vehicle (s) listed for the property listed, and if you find the same or any part thereof, to retain such in you custody or in the custody of the agency you represent, as provided by A.R.S. Section 13-3918.

Return this warrant to me within three (3) days of the date thereof, as directed by A.R.S. Section 13-3920.

Given under my hand and dated this 3/rst

Judge, Superior Court

Date 5/31/17